

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1 INSURANCE BAD FAITH CLAIMS IN COLORADO

EXHIBIT

Exhibit 1A—Bad Faith Case Outcomes

Chapter 2 TORT VERSUS CONTRACT REMEDIES

§ 2.1 INSURED’S REMEDIES LIMITED UNDER CONTRACT LAW**§ 2.2 EXPANDED REMEDIES UNDER TORT LAW****EXHIBIT**

Exhibit 2A—Insured’s Remedies For Breach Of Contract And Bad Faith Breach Of Contract

Chapter 3 FIRST-PARTY AND THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS

EXHIBIT

Exhibit 3A—Common First-Party And Third-Party Claims

Chapter 4 BASIS OF THE BAD FAITH CLAIM

§ 4.1 INSURANCE CONTRACT AS SOURCE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH**§ 4.2 DUTY OF GOOD FAITH OUTSIDE OF INSURANCE CONTRACT****§ 4.3 BAD FAITH CLAIMS WHEN NO BREACH OF CONTRACT OCCURRED**

§ 4.3.1—Breach Of Contract Not A Prerequisite

§ 4.3.2—Breach Of Contract Is A Prerequisite

§ 4.4 CONCLUSION

Chapter 5 STANDARD OF LIABILITY IN THIRD-PARTY CASES

- § 5.1 INITIAL ADOPTION OF NEGLIGENCE STANDARD
- § 5.2 COURT OF APPEALS DEFINES BAD FAITH AS INTENTIONAL TORT
- § 5.3 SUPREME COURT REAFFIRMS BASIC NEGLIGENCE STANDARD
- § 5.4 INSURER'S DUTY TO SETTLE DOES NOT INCLUDE DUTY TO PROTECT INSURED AGAINST PUNITIVE DAMAGES
- § 5.5 INSURED DOES NOT HAVE TO PROVE SETTLEMENT OFFER WAS BONA FIDE AS ELEMENT OF BAD FAITH
- § 5.6 DUTY TO RECOMMEND THAT INSURED HIRE PERSONAL COUNSEL WHEN THERE IS A RISK OF AN EXCESS JUDGMENT
- § 5.7 SUMMARY OF STANDARDS IN THIRD-PARTY CASES

EXHIBIT

Exhibit 5A—Current Liability Law For Bad Faith In
Third-Party Claims

Chapter 6 STANDARD OF LIABILITY IN FIRST-PARTY CASES

- § 6.1 COURT OF APPEALS ATTEMPTS TO PROMULGATE STANDARD IN *SAVIO*
- § 6.2 SUPREME COURT ADOPTS TWO-PART TEST IN *SAVIO*
- § 6.3 TWO-PART STANDARD APPLIES TO BAD FAITH CASES OUTSIDE OF CLAIMS CONTEXT
- § 6.4 INSURER RELYING ON EXISTING LAW DOES NOT ACT IN BAD FAITH
- § 6.5 SUMMARY OF STANDARD IN FIRST-PARTY CASES

EXHIBIT

Exhibit 6A—Standards Of Liability In First-Party And
Third-Party Claims

**Chapter 7 REASONABLENESS OF THE INSURER’S CONDUCT —
THE “FAIRLY DEBATABLE” STANDARD**

**§ 7.1 EMERGENCE OF THE “FAIRLY DEBATABLE” STANDARD
IN COLORADO**

**§ 7.2 APPLICATION OF THE “FAIRLY DEBATABLE” STANDARD
IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS**

§ 7.2.1—The “Fairly Debatable” Issue Can Be Decided As A Matter
Of Law

§ 7.2.2—Jury Must Decide Whether Insurer’s Decision To Deny A
Claim Is “Fairly Debatable”

§ 7.3 BAD FAITH CLAIMS IN ARBITRATION PROCEEDINGS

**§ 7.4 BAD FAITH CLAIMS IN DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
ACTIONS**

**Chapter 8 CONSENT JUDGMENTS AND ASSIGNMENTS OF
BAD FAITH CLAIMS**

§ 8.1 BASHOR AGREEMENTS

**§ 8.2 PREJUDGMENT BASHOR AGREEMENTS (CONSENT
JUDGMENTS AND ASSIGNMENTS)**

§ 8.2.1—What Are Prejudgment Bashor Agreements?

§ 8.2.2—Enforcement Of Consent Judgments Against An Insurer
Where Insurer Fails To Defend Or Withdraws Its Defense

§ 8.2.3—Enforcement Of A Consent Judgment Where The Insurer Files
A Declaratory Judgment Action Or Defends Under A
Reservation Of Rights

§ 8.2.4—Enforcement Of A Consent Judgment Where The Insurer
Defends Without Reservation

§ 8.3 ARE CONSENT JUDGMENTS AND PREJUDGMENT ASSIGNMENTS VOID AS CONTRARY TO PUBLIC POLICY?

§ 8.4 THE RULE ADOPTED IN *OLD REPUBLIC*

§ 8.5 IF THE INSURED HAS NOT VITIATED COVERAGE BY ENTERING INTO THE CONSENT JUDGMENT, IS THE AMOUNT OF THE CONSENT JUDGMENT BINDING AGAINST THE INSURER?

§ 8.6 CONCLUSION

Chapter 9 LITIGATION UNDER THE CCPA

§ 9.1 THE *SHOWPIECE HOMES* CASE

§ 9.2 ELEMENTS OF A CLAIM FOR RELIEF UNDER THE CCPA — THE PUBLIC IMPACT REQUIREMENT

§ 9.3 THE PUBLIC IMPACT REQUIREMENT AS APPLIED TO INSURERS

§ 9.4 CURRENT STATUS OF THE LAW

Chapter 10 OTHER LIABILITY ISSUES

§ 10.1 INSURER CANNOT BE LIABLE FOR BOTH NEGLIGENCE AND BAD FAITH

§ 10.2 NO PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION EXISTS FOR VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR CLAIMS SETTLEMENT PRACTICES ACT

§ 10.3 BAD FAITH ISSUES ARISING OUT OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS

§ 10.4 COMMERCIAL SURETIES MAY BE SUBJECT TO BAD FAITH CLAIMS

§ 10.5 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BAD FAITH AND OTHER TORTS

Table of Contents

§ 10.6 BAD FAITH ISSUES ARISING FROM CLAIMS UNDER THE FORMER NO-FAULT ACT

§ 10.6.1—Statutory Remedies Did Not Preempt Claims For Bad Faith

§ 10.6.2—Bad Faith And Willful And Wanton Conduct Under The No-Fault Act Were Not Identical

§ 10.6.3—PIP Claim Handling Practices That Led To Claims Of Bad Faith

§ 10.6.4—Bad Faith Claims In Enhanced PIP Litigation

§ 10.7 BAD FAITH CLAIMS AGAINST A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY ARE BARRED BY THE COLORADO GOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITY ACT (CGIA)

Chapter 11 LIABILITY OF INSURER’S AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES — LIABILITY OF INSURER TO NON-PARTIES

§ 11.1 CASES HOLDING NO BAD FAITH CLAIM LIES AGAINST A NON-PARTY TO THE CONTRACT

§ 11.2 CASES EXPANDING LIABILITY BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THE CONTRACT

§ 11.3 CONCLUSION

Chapter 12 EVIDENTIARY ISSUES

§ 12.1 ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE OBTAINED AFTER THE COMMENCEMENT OF LITIGATION

§ 12.2 ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE OF AN INSURER’S CONDUCT OCCURRING AFTER THE COMMENCEMENT OF LITIGATION

§ 12.2.1—Admissibility Of Evidence Of Insurer’s Actions After Litigation Begins

§ 12.2.2—Admissibility Of Evidence Of An Insurer’s Litigation Tactics To Prove Bad Faith

Chapter 13 USE OF EXPERTS

- § 13.1 **PROOF OF INSURANCE INDUSTRY’S STANDARDS OF CONDUCT IS REQUIRED**
- § 13.2 **EXPERT TESTIMONY IS NOT ESSENTIAL IN ALL CASES TO PROVE BAD FAITH**
- § 13.3 **QUALIFICATIONS OF EXPERTS**
- § 13.4 **SCOPE OF EXPERT TESTIMONY**
- § 13.5 **ILLUSTRATIONS OF HOW EXPERT TESTIMONY CAN BE USED**
- § 13.6 **EVIDENTIARY ISSUES RELATED TO DAMAGES**
- § 13.7 **CONCLUSION**

Chapter 14 INSURER’S FIDUCIARY AND QUASI-FIDUCIARY DUTIES

- § 14.1 **ORIGIN OF THE CONCEPT OF A QUASI-FIDUCIARY DUTY**
- § 14.2 **INSURER DOES NOT OWE INSURED A FIDUCIARY DUTY IN FIRST-PARTY INSURANCE CLAIMS**
- § 14.3 **INSURER DOES NOT OWE INSURED A QUASI-FIDUCIARY DUTY IN FIRST-PARTY CLAIMS**
- § 14.4 **CONCLUSION**

Chapter 15 WILLFUL AND WANTON BREACH OF CONTRACT

- § 15.1 **CONFIRMATION OF THE AVAILABILITY OF NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES IN *GIAMPAPA***
- § 15.2 **STATUTORY LIMITATION ON RECOVERY OF NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES**

Chapter 16 DAMAGES AND ATTORNEY FEES

§ 16.1 BACKGROUND: THE RULE OF *TRIMBLE III*

§ 16.2 THE *GOODSON* COURT OVERRULES *TRIMBLE III*

§ 16.3 ATTORNEY FEES AS AN ELEMENT OF DAMAGES

§ 16.4 PREJUDGMENT INTEREST

§ 16.5 SUMMARY OF DAMAGES RECOVERABLE

EXHIBIT

Exhibit 16A—Types Of Damages In Insurance Bad Faith Cases

Chapter 17 PUNITIVE DAMAGES

§ 17.1 AMOUNT OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES GENERALLY MAY NOT EXCEED THE ACTUAL DAMAGES AWARDED

§ 17.2 CIRCUMSTANCES JUSTIFYING INCREASE IN THE AMOUNT OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES BEYOND THE ACTUAL DAMAGES AWARDED

§ 17.3 THE *CAMPBELL* DECISION AND STATUTORY LIMITATIONS ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES

Chapter 18 CLASS ACTION CLAIMS

§ 18.1 THE “TYPICALITY” REQUIREMENT

§ 18.2 DECEPTIVE PRACTICES AND BAD FAITH BY INSURERS IN SELLING UM/UIM COVERAGE

Chapter 19 JURY INSTRUCTIONS

**Chapter 20 STATUTORY REMEDIES FOR DELAY OR DENIAL OF
FIRST-PARTY BENEFITS**

Chapter 21 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

- § 21.1 TWO-YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS APPLIES
- § 21.2 APPLICATION TO CLAIMS ARISING FROM BREACH OF
DUTY TO DEFEND AND DUTY TO INDEMNIFY
- § 21.3 ACCRUAL OF ACTION ARISING OUT OF WORKERS'
COMPENSATION CLAIM
- § 21.4 INSURER HAS NO DUTY TO ADVISE INSURED WHEN
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS WILL EXPIRE
- § 21.5 ACCRUAL OF CLAIM FOR FAILURE TO OFFER
ENHANCED PIP BENEFITS
- § 21.6 EACH ACT OF BAD FAITH IS A SEPARATE TORTIOUS ACT
ON WHICH THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS RUNS ANEW

Chapter 22 PREEMPTION OF BAD FAITH CLAIMS BY ERISA

- § 22.1 NO ISSUE OF PREEMPTION UNLESS AN ERISA PLAN
EXISTS
- § 22.2 NO ERISA PREEMPTION UNLESS CLAIMANTS ARE
“EMPLOYEES”
- § 22.3 APPLICATION OF THE “SAVING CLAUSE” TO
COLORADO’S LAW OF BAD FAITH
- § 22.4 ERISA PREEMPTION UNDER RECENT COLORADO
STATUTES

Chapter 23 EVOLUTION OF THE LAW OF INSURANCE BAD FAITH

SUBJECT INDEX
